

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F050062 People v. Merkwan

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F050062 People v. Merkwan

The judgment is modified by striking the section 1202.45 parole revocation fine. As so modified, the judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049501 People v. Castanon

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the case is submitted for decision.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049501 People v. Castanon

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F051346 Fernando U. v. The Superior Court of Merced County; Merced County
Human Services Agency**

Let a petition for extraordinary writ issue directing respondent court to vacate its orders issued on September 26, 2006, denying petitioner reunification services and setting the section 366.26 hearing. Respondent court is further directed to conduct a new six-month review hearing and enter a finding that petitioner is F.'s presumed father. At that hearing, the court shall also enter an order granting petitioner six months of reunification services unless the court finds a basis for denying services pursuant to section 361.5, subdivision (b). Should the court find a statutory basis for a denial of services, it shall enter an order denying services, specifying the basis for its denial and reset the section 366.26 hearing. Whether the court orders reunification services or not, the court shall order visitation pursuant to section 362.1, subdivision (a)(1)(A)-(B), unless the court finds visitation would be detrimental.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F051329 Luis G. v. The Superior Court Of Merced County; Merced County Human
Services Agency**

Let a petition for extraordinary writ issue directing respondent court to vacate its orders issued on September 26, 2006, denying petitioner reunification services and setting the section 366.26 hearing. Respondent court is further directed to conduct a new six-month review hearing and to enter an order granting petition six months of reunification services unless the court finds a basis for denial of services pursuant to section 361.5, subdivision (b). Should the court find a statutory basis for a denial of services, it shall enter an order denying services, specifying the basis for its denial and reset the section 366.26 hearing.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE
Fifth Appellate District

F050448 **People v. Dillard**
The above-entitled case is submitted for decision.

F050448 **People v. Dillard**
The judgment is affirmed.
By the Court.
[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]